SINGLE BENCH

CF 1001 (2) (4)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR

(S)

WRIT PETITION No. 7647 /2006

PETITIONERS

1. Santoshi Thakur (Sen), Daughter of Ram Chandra Thakur, aged about 35 years, resident of Village/Post Birgaon, Dharsiva, District Raipur (C.G.).

2. Krishna Mishra, wife of Shri Ghan Shyam Mishra, Village/Post Amlipadar, Tahsil Bindra Navagarh, Deo Bhog, District Raipur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 709/06
Presented by Shri S. Tamrakar
dated 6-12-06

RECEIVED COPY
6/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Education Department (Ministry), D.K.S. Bhawan, G.E.Road, Raipur (C.G.).

2. Deputy Director of Public Institution, Education

OATH COMMISSIONER
G.R. Kaushik
TAHSIL OFFICE

(3) (5)

Department, Post Office - Pension Bada, Arvind Nagar Ward, Raipur (C.G.).

3. Block Education Officer, P.O. Dharsiwa, District-Raipur (C.G.).

4. Block Education Officer, P.O. Deo Bhog, District – Raipur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/~~227~~ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(9)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 7647 of 2006

Petitioners : Santoshi Thakur (Sen) & another

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Present: Shri, Alok Nigam, counsel for the petitioners.
Ms. Deepali Pandey, P.L. for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on 21st Day of February, 2007)

1. It is submitted that the facts and question of law involved in the present petition are same to that of in Ram Sahay Jha Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others (Original Application No. 15/1995). The Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, by its judgment and order dated 16/2/1996, allowed the application of the petitioner therein. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of this Court to approach the respondents/State for consideration and disposal of the petitioners case in terms of the order dated 16/2/1996 passed in the case of Ram Sahay Jha (Supra) by Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State fairly agrees with the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

3. Accordingly, the petitioners are granted liberty to make a representation before the respondents-State Authorities for their decision on the representation in the light of the order dated 16/2/1996 passed in the case of Ram Sahay Jha (Supra), in accordance with law, on its own merit, within reasonable time.

4. With the above-stated liberty, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge